



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/758,679	01/11/2001	Clinton A. Staley	08822-051001	9548	
7	590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER		
GATES & COOPER LLP			WOO, STELLA L		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
SUITE 1050			2643		
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90045		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	04	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/758,67	9	STALEY, CLINTON A.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Stella L. W		2643					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. s, a reply within the stature period will apply and wing statute, cause the apply	int, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the applic	cation.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>41-44</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-3,14-21,30,31,39 and 40 is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>4-13,22-29 and 32-38</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2001	is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu			-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •						
	application from the International E	•							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen				(777.440)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/sr No(s)/Mail Date <u>6</u> .			atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/758,679

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 14-21, 30-31, 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bird (US 5,784,476) in view of Frindle et al. (US 5,923,767, hereinafter "Frindle").

Bird discloses a computer processor method comprising:

receiving amplitude versus time values for said audio signal (analog input audio signal received at input 40; Figures 2 and 3);

determining an envelope (via amplitude analyzer 42; col. 3, lines 41-44);

determining respective minimum and maximum multiplication factors (multiplying factors are determined so that the output is above the too-quiet threshold and below the too-loud threshold; col. 4, line 58 – col. 5, line 25); and

creating a control signal function (amplitude analyzer 52 calculates what the volume position should be and sets a multiplying factor; col. 6, line 20 – col. 7, line 30).

Bird differs from the claims in that it does not specify the control signal function having reduced slopes. However, Frindle teaches the desirability of the applied gain in a digital audio processing method having reduced slopes (Abstract; col. 2, lines 27-36) in order to reduce

Application/Control Number: 09/758,679

Art Unit: 2643

audible distortion (col. 2, lines 34-36) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such "soft knee" processing, as taught by Frindle, within the method of Bird in order to reduce the rate of change of gain slope, thus, reducing the audible distortion which results from an abrupt change of gain.

Regarding claims 14 and 39, Frindle teaches a logarithmic converter (col. 1, lines 35-39, 64-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 4-13, 22-29, 32-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 41-44 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a first or second convex hull calculation for specifying y values in a method of creating reduced-slope series of line segments.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lubbe et al., Frindle et al., Lin et al., Hoshino et al. and Smart et al. show other dynamic range control systems.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,679

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643